IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.19654 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: December 12, 2006

Ram Parkash

….Petitioner

VERSUS

UT, Chandigarh and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri P.M.Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

Concededly, the booth in question had been allotted in favour of the petitioner in the year 1981. On account of non payment of even 15% of the consideration price, the allotment in favour of the petitioner was cancelled in the year 1984. The appeal filed by the petitioner was allowed vide order dated July 10,1991 (Annexure P.2) subject to the condition that the petitioner should pay the entire outstanding dues within the stipulated period. The petitioner did not choose to comply with the conditional order and, as such, the cancellation order passed in the year 1984 stood revived. It has attained finality with the efflux of time. After a gap of 14 years, the petitioner filed an appeal before the Chief Administrator. The appeal filed by the petitioner was also dismissed on June 30,2005 by holding that no appeal was competent before the Chief Administrator. Against the aforesaid order, the petitioner has chosen to file the

revision petition which has also been dismissed vide order dated December 14, 2005 (Annexure P.5).

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration that the order of cancellation passed in the year 1984 has attained finality when the petitioner had not even complied with the conditional appellate order dated July 10,1991, we find no merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 12, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge